Learning about evolution from time-series sequence data, from the lab to the globe

> Biological Evolution Across Scales Workshop Bernoulli Center, EPFL

John Barton y @\_jpbarton Dept of Computational and www bartonlab.github.io Systems Biology @ Pitt 2023-04-18 Pathogens can evolve to escape immunity and increase replication or transmissibility



Influenza undergoes antigenic drift, escaping past immune responses

SARS-CoV-2 variants drive new waves of infection

Model evolution quantitatively to identify critical mutations and predict future dynamics

Figure: Bedford et al, eLife 2014

**Inferring** the functional effects of mutations from deep mutational scanning experiments

**Evolution** of SARS-CoV-2 for increased transmissibility

**Inferring** the functional effects of mutations from deep mutational scanning experiments

**Evolution** of SARS-CoV-2 for increased transmissibility

Deep mutational scanning (DMS) provides massively parallel measurements of the functional effects of mutations



Experimentalists first synthesize a large, random library of mutants and sequence them

After passing through selection (sometimes multiple rounds), the library is sequenced again

Change in frequency gives insights into function

Examples: virus resistance to antibodies, binding affinity, ...

# However, reproducibility is surprisingly limited



Data: Haddox et al, eLife 2018

Population evolution under the Wright-Fisher model



Sequences in the next generation are chosen w/ probability proportional to their **fitness f** and **frequency x** 



Probabilities are normalized by the average fitness of the population

To simplify dynamics, consider a limit where number of sequences  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and fitness effects  $s \sim O(1/N)$ 

An intuitive expression for estimating the fitness effects of mutations



To estimate fitness advantage (selection coefficient s), multiply change in frequency ( $\Delta x$ ) with inverse of the frequency covariance matrix (C) integrated over time (plus reg.)

Off-diaggnal (Warjance terms Agcount for genetic linkage

Additional adjustments for DMS data (error rates, etc.)

Sohail, Louie, McKay, & JPB Nature Biotechnology 2021 Selection coefficients that we infer are much more reliable than past estimates



Our estimated functional effects were more consistent than existing approaches across all 23 data sets that we tested



Intuitive advantages compared to current methods

For beneficial mutations, frequency gain is slow when mutations are at very low or very high frequencies, not accounted for by enrichment ratios/regression

High frequency estimates are particularly important for WT residues, often used to normalize other estimates of functional effects

Effect magnitudes meaningful across sites

Regularization controls spurious inferences when data is weak

Preprint coming soon!

**Inferring** the functional effects of mutations from deep mutational scanning experiments

**Evolution** of SARS-CoV-2 for increased transmissibility





Data: GISAID



Data: GISAID



Modeling epidemiological/evolutionary dynamics as a branching process



Infected individuals transmit a random number of new infections

The distribution is heavy-tailed (negative binomial) to model superspreading

Expected number of new infections  $R_a$  depends on the variant a

$$R_a = R(1 + w_a)$$

with  $g_i^a = 1$  if variant *a* has mutation coefficient for variant *a*  selection coefficient for mutation *i* 

Ultimately, expressions for relative frequencies of variants are almost identical to the previous model Lloyd-Smith et al, Nature 2005 Epidemiological dynamics of SARS-CoV-2

We analyzed GISAID data through June 2022 (5.6 million sequences across 126 regions) to estimate effects of mutations on SARS-CoV-2 transmission

Evolutionary histories in different regions are considered independent, a good approximation when most transmission is local rather than due to travel

To obtain joint estimates of selection coefficients, sum contributions from all regions (computed exactly from posterior!)

Transmission effects of mutations NSP16across the SARS-CoV-2 genome NSP15--ORF3a ORF6 NSP14 ORF7a \_\_ORF7b Strong selection in Spike S1 ORF8 subunit, including mutations  $\Delta$ 142 (NTD, Ab evasion), NSP13 L452Q/R and Q498R (RBD), -ORF10 P681R/H (FCS) -5  $\left( \right)$ 5 -TGCA -NSP1 Inferred selection coefficient (%) Other clusters of beneficial -NSP2 mutations including in NSP12-NSP6 (∆106-108), NSP10-NSP9-N (R203M, S202N) NSP8--NSP3 NSP7 NSP6-NSP5 Lee et al, medRxiv 2022 NSP4-Data: GISAID

Collectively, we obtain estimates for the net transmission advantage of well-known variants



Collectively, we obtain estimates for the net transmission advantage of well-known variants, showing clear dominance of Omicron



Measure selection for all linked groups of mutations globally and in all individual regions, at all intermediate times (until Feb 2021)

Linked groups with selection coefficients <10% in global data are never inferred >13% in any region, at any time

Variants >13% in any region at any time thus have reliably have higher transmission, could be considered "concerning"







#### Summary

- Developed an analytical method to infer the fitness effects from time-series sequence data
- Inferred fitness effects from deep mutational scanning data are much more consistent than with current approaches
- Application to SARS-CoV-2 finds clusters of beneficial mutations and net transmission benefit for variants with multiple mutations
- Model is sensitive enough to provide rapid detection of more transmissible variants
- Extensible to epistasis (Sohail et al, MBE 2022), etc.

#### Acknowledgments

Barton lab members\*





Brian Lee\*

Liz Finney\* Faraz Ahmed



Mckay



Louie





Saqib Sohail





Ahmed Quadeer